



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/436,506		11/09/1999	THOMAS WILLIAM BISH	TU9-99-036	TU9-99-036 6740	
24033	7590	10/24/2002				
		S VICTOR & MA	EXAMINER			
315 SOUTH SUITE 210				ALI, MOHAMMAD		
BEVERLY	HILLS, CA	A 90212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2177		
				DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim$
Advisory Action	09/436,506	BISH ET AL.	1
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months are the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period f	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr ount of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	· ·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	,		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		idered but does NC	T place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Le et al. teaches in view of Frank et al. "storage devices maintain a flag inidicating whether a previous access attempt of the storage device failed and selecting the storage device having the flag indicating that a previous access attempt did not fail if the flag for the other device indicates that a failure occurred" at col. 2 lines 61 to col. 3 lines 28, Fig. 6, Le, col. 3 lines 2-27, Frank .

JEAN/R. HOMERE PRIMARY EXAMINER